G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 92 (illegible)

1910.

Serial Nos. 1

(illegible)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Excess Expenditure for Palahara in the Sri Thanumalayan Swami temple at Thanumalayanpuram

---

(illegible) Treasurer Nagercoil despatch No 77 of 15-8-10.

Submits a bill for Rs 50/- for the purpose -

Ditto 2 To. The Dy Comr. Nagercoil No 698-9 of 12-9-1910.

Sanctions the bill & When the Sandarpane takes if the Rathotsava takes on the Ekadasi day

GVIB—1,005—22-10-15

G.R.S.11] 190 .

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Mujarai)

NOTES.

File No. 92-10

FILE HEADING.

Excess of expenditure for Palahara in the Sri Chamarajeswara Swamy Temple

From

The Dy Comm, Mysore

No. 37 Dated 15 8 10 Received 17 8 10

Diary No. 321	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits for Sanction a bill for Rs 50/- being the excess of expenditure incurred for Palahara on the Day of the Rathotsavam of Sri Chamarajeswara Swamy temple in the year 1909.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Dy Comm also states that the Casdik grant of Rs 300/- allotted for the Rathotsavam and Sansarpane in the Temple being insufficient for the Purpose, an additional expense of Rs 50/- was sanctioned as a Special Case for Palahara expenses Hence legal

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—30,000—3 1-07

enue
at.

Mysr File No. 92 of 1910

2)

Serial No.

Sanction the bill of Rs 50/- submitted and debit the charge to the funds of the temple.

H. Secy's orders: Sanction as a special Case.
Draft order sanctioning the bill is subd
RL 29/8/10 to Govt Committee.
Ambuhanmugg

The bill may be sanctioned. 31/8/1910

If the Rathotsava falls on Ekadasi day, when does the Santarpane take place. Why shd not the Phalahara be regarded as part of the Santarpane?

2/9/10

Hm
7/9/10.

Draft added to Issue.
5?
5/9/1910

2 G O no 698-9 dated 12 9 10 sanctioning the bill and enquires when the Santarpane takes Place if the Rathotsavam falls on the Ekadasi day, and why moved not the Phalahara Charges be met out of the Charges allotted for the Utsavam

3 From The Dy Commr, Mysore
Letter No 247 dated 23rd Nov 1910
Forwards report on
As per remarks made in the Issue book

Plead 2

Note—Contn. sheet.

d Revenue Secretariat. | Mux File No. 92 of 1910

Serial No.

patti of 1897, the Rathotsavam etc is being celebrated on the birthday of His Highness i e the Ekadasi day he being unshastraic to Conduct the Santarpane on that day, the Santarpane is usually Conducted on the next day i-e the Dwadasi day

The amount allotted for the Rathotsavam Celebration is only Rs 300/- of which ~~a sum of~~ Rs 220/- is spent mainly for the Rathotsavam purposes

So, the sum available for the Santarpane ~~p~~ is only Rs 80/-

The Dy Commr reports that the Amildar of the Chamarajnagar states that as the ~~sanctioned~~ sum of Rs 80/- is insufficient for the Santarpane purposes, the Palahara Charges Cannot be met from that sum.

The Palahara Charges must be met exclusively from the funds of the temple.

Submitted for orders.

Flag I

2 Mdu In the Tamil Patti (original ~~pat~~ (79) 31 12/10. rp) it is said that the Rathotsavam and Santarpane stand to be on the birthday of His Highness the Maharaja - This was settled in 1898 when it was known that the birthday was on a Ekadasi day. It does not appear that in previous years any charge for Palahara

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,00 ›-12 8 10

4)

Revenue Secretariat. | Cllr File No. 92 of 1910

Serial No.

Were incurred. The bills may be accepted without any observations or with the observation that no charges for Phalahara appear to be necessary

Submitted to the Councillor Administrator
5.1.1911

1202

I am inclined to say that the Charges shd, both (Santarpana & Phalahara or Ekadasi) be met out of the tasdik allce for the purpose hereafter.

Dewan

1911

Dewan
6/1/11

Submitted to ~~Dr to~~ Dewan
Administrator
7/1/11

9665
9.1.11

I dont understand the necessity for both Palahara on Ekadasi & Santarpana on Mrathasi - To abs 5 days may lead to further complication Expenditure of the Ekadasi for Smarthas & Vishnavites fall on different days -

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

etariat. | Misc File No. 92 of 19 10

Serial No.

Would Yesterday it ~~there~~ to Palaheena because that is what is appointed for the birthday that falls on Ekadesi day - Before however deciding this I would like to be informed of the practice in Temples under direct Palace Management Mdo 15 Feb 11

Remembrancer to Diwan

I beg to state that a similar state of things does not exist in the Temples under direct Palace management; for in many of these Temples is their Pattabhisheka and / the Maharaja's Birthday

The fasting and Phalaharam at Chamarajendra are not on account of the Pattabhisheka and not on account of their / the Maharaja's birthday

I have reasons to say that the date of Pattabhisheka has been altered from time to time the date of the birthday of H.H. the Maharaja; but I am not quite sure on that point.

The Temple Saves the Lagnam about 8-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,00 1—12 8 10

t. | Mwz File No. 92 of 1910

Serial No.

Rs 4653-8-4 out of the annual income. Would this not be difficult to provide funds if Phalahara is to be absolutely necessary.

If as suggested by me this letter is recorded with this remark that no charges for Phalahara appear to be necessary the Diwan will then if necessary, submit a report stating why Phalahara charges are required.

Ahobilamayya

17/4/1911

10206
18.2.11
508

In my opinion if the Kathoshe is on Yekathasi day only Phalahara should be distributed. There can be no objection to the Karnam adding Ratnalankara Seshayya Garu's name to the list.

Submitted to Vice Consulate

Ahobilamayya

21/4/1911

1544

Palahara is an innovation to Sankarpane the old practice I believe. If either should go, it should be the former. I am for restricting the sum & leaving the distribution to the local authority. Please submit upon to Diwan if necessary & issue what-ever order he may direct.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,00 1—12 8 10

23/4/11

12]
and Revenue
Secretariat.

968 79

Mur File No. 92 of 1910

Serial No.

100

Resubmitted to Dewan.

Phalahara said is not likely to be appreciated by the Brahmins.

Alambhanuja

1/3/1911

10357
1-3-11

Then Kote Palahara which an innovation Nestru (or Keek) Thee in Lampens

Dept asst. Secy

1/3/1911

Draft-Rubd in Secy's Committee

Alambhanuja

16/3

17/3/1911

Draft-appd. by 2nd Councillor

24/3/11

Rd on 22/3/11

4/4

Rect 4/4

The Dy Comr. despatched to Comptroller No 2503-4 of 1-4-11.

Directs that the Palahara be stopped as it is an innovation and that Sankarpane be continued to be conducted.

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

VII 1/8 D 321

1910-11

From M.F 92-10-1
Mr. Kantaraj urs Esq B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
C.S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq B.A.
Offg. Secretary to the Govt. Jungpg.
Genl. and Rev. Departts, Muzrai Branch

Dated

Despatched 15th August 1910

Received

General No.
No. 77

Enclosure No. 5

Submits herewith for sanction a bill for Rs 50/- being the excess expenditure incurred for Padahara on the days of the Rathotsavam of Sri Chamarajeswara Swami Temple in the year 1909

pv.
1) Neo file.
2) Sanction as specified
17/8/1910
BL
17-8-10

No. 77
Enc. No. 5

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore Camp 15th August 1910.

To
C. S. Balasundaram Ayer Esq., B.A.
Offg: SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Muzrai Branch

Sir,

I have the honor to state that during my inspection of the Chamarajanagar Taluk on 20th May 1909, the Amildar of the Chamarajanagar Taluk brought to my notice that the Jasdik grant of Rs 300/- allotted for the Rathothsavam and Santarpane in the Sri Chamarajeswara Swami temple at Chamarajanagar was insufficient and solicited permission to incur an additional expense of Rs 50/- for Shalara on the day of the Rathothsavam which took place in may 1909. As a Special case the Amildar was

authorized

authorized to incur an additional expendi-
-ture of Rs 50/-. A bill therefor is herewith
submitted for sanction and transmission to the
Comptroller, Mysore Government, for audit,
the charge being met out of the funds of the
Sri Chamarajeswara Swami temple.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant

K. Venkatachar

for Deputy Commissioner

M.

Mysore District

28.]

File No. 92-10

Serial No.

6-9-10

Diary No. of letter replied to 321

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, the 19

Date of issue

Signed by

READ—

Letter No. 994 dated 15th August 1910 from the Dy Comsnr Mysore, submitting for Sanction a bill for Rs 307- being the ~~additional~~ Excess expense incurred for Palahara on the Rathostavam day of Sree Chamarajeswara Swamy Utsava

ORDER THEREON.—~~No.~~

in the year 1909.

Order thereon. No

~~The Dy Comsnr states that the~~ grant of Rs 300- for the Rathostavam and Santarpane to the Temple is insufficient for the Purpose & grant of Rs 307- may therefore be given for Palaharam

over

SUBJECT.

No 698-9 Enc 1, to 1/

from 92-10-2

Dt 12-1-10

Sanctioned as a special Case, the Charge being debited to the funds at the credit of the Institution.

2

3/8/1910

Secy

2/9/10

29/8/10

F.T.O

GPE—5,000—7-5-09

mse 9-9

On the occasion
expenses as a Special Case and the
charge may be met from the temple funds.

Under the circumstances reported
by the Dy Commr., the bill for Rs 50/-
is sanctioned as a special case and
forwarded to the Comptroller for audit,
the charge being met from the funds
of the temple in question.

2. Mr D Cave is requested to
report if the Rathotsava
falls on Wednesday and,
if so, when the Santarpane
takes place & why the Phalahara
charges should not be met
out of the charges for Santarpane

B[illegible]
5/
17/9/1910

Yr Secy to Govt

1. The Dy Commr Mysore
returning the Vernacular Papers.

The Comptroller with the Sanctioned
Bill.

2968

1910-11 92-10-3.

From M Kantaraj Urs Esqr B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To C. S Balasundaram Iyer Esqr B.A
Offg. Secy to the Govt.
Mysore Branch

Dated

Despatched 23rd November 1910

Received

General No. 247
No.

Enclosure No 1 file

States that the Rathotsavam of the Sri Chamaraja-Swaraswami temple at Chamarajanagar, is being conducted on the birth-day of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Confirmation
BL
25.11.10

5

No. 247
Enc. No. 1 file

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore Camp 23rd November 1910.

To
C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A
Offg: SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Muzra Branch

Sir,

Adverting to para 2 of Government Proceedings No. 698-9 Muz. 92-10-2 dated 12th September 1910, calling for further information regarding the day on which the Rathothsavam of the Sri Chamarajeswara Swami temple at Chamarajanagar falls, I have the honor to state that as per remarks made in the Tasdik Patti of 1898 the Rathothsavam is being conducted on the Birthday of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore i.e. on Ekadasi day. The Santarpane takes place the next day.

2 A.

6

2. A sum of Rs 300/- is allotted for the Rathothsavam. Deducting the expenditure in connection with the Rathothsavam Viz Rs 220/- only Rs 80/- are reported to be available for Santarpane. The Amildar states that as this amount is insufficient for Santarpane the Phalahara charges cannot be met out of the aforesaid Sum.

The Sub Divisional Officer's yadast No. 104/324 dated 26th October / 24th November 1910 and its enclosures are herewith submitted for reference

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

G.R.S. 28

27-3-11

File No. 92/10

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 968

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Mysore)

, *the* 19

Date of issue

Signed by

READ again

G.O. No. 698-9/Mf. 92-10-2 dated the 12th September 1910 sanctioning as a special case the excess expense incurred for Palahara on the Rathotsava day of the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajanagar and ~~ORDER THEREON &c.~~ requesting the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt. to report if the Rathotsava falls on Ekadasi day and if so, when the Sautarpane takes place and why the Palahara charges should not be met out of the charges for Sautarpane

over

SUBJECT.

8446

GPB—10,000 -9 12 09

:- Letter No. 247 dated the 3rd November 1910 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, stating that as per remarks made in the Jasdik Patti of 1898, the Rathotsavam being conducted on the Birthday of H.H. the Maharaja, i.e. on Ekadasi day, that the Dantarpane takes place on the next day, that out of the allotment of Rs 300 made for the Rathotsavam a sum of Rs 220/- is being expended for Rathotsavam, and the balance of Rs 80 is insufficient for Dantarpane and that therefore the Charges for Palahara cannot be met out of the aforesaid Sum.

Order thereon:-

Under the circumstances, Govt direct that Palahara be stopped as it is an innovation and that Dantarpane be continued the conduct is the old practice and as it is not likely to be appreciated by the Brahmins. 24/3/11

17/3/1911

To Mr Dr Comr, Mysore &c
" Comptroller, the V.Hy
"

Rk 16/3

16/3/11